

B¹ wherein the one dielectric film has a refractive index of three or higher, and the other dielectric film has a refractive index of less than two.

B² 3. (Twice Amended) The magneto-optical body according to Claim 1, wherein the one dielectric film is Si, and the other dielectric film is SiO₂.

Please add new claim 8 as follows:

B³ --8. The magneto-optical body according to claim 1, wherein the one dielectric film is Ge, and the other dielectric film is SiO₂--

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7 and 8 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 3 are amended, claims 2, 5 and 6 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein, and new claim 8 is added. Reconsideration in view of the above outlined amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

The attached Appendix includes a marked-up copy of each rewritten claim (37 C.F.R. §1.121(c)(1)(ii)).

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's comment as set forth on page 2 of the Office Action. Applicants acknowledge these comments and wishes to thank the Examiner for making them.

Applicants wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicant's representative during the telephone interview conducted May 7, 2003. The substance of the interview is incorporated herein and constitute Applicants' record of the interview.

The drawings were objected to because Fig. 2 has the x axis labels inverted. As agreed during the telephone interview, the objection to the drawings is now moot. It is respectfully requested that the objection be withdrawn.

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claim 2 has been canceled, thus rendering moot the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. By this